



## Leading scientists oppose valley fills and mountaintop mining.

### “Mountaintop Mining Consequences,”

a scientific study, was recently published in *Science*, an international journal read by more than 1 million people. *Science* publishes only peer-reviewed articles, which undergo intense review by a wide panel of scientists and experts. Scientists, industries and governments consider such articles the most objective source of information about topics. 12 scientists, including some from the National Academies of Science, authored the article.

### Data Sources

- Current peer-reviewed articles and reports published about the topic
- Water quality data from West Virginia’s Department of Environmental Protection

### Methods

The scientists compiled results from articles and reports published about mountaintop mining/valley fill (MTM/VF) effects. They then compared the amount of heavy metals and toxic chemicals found in samples taken from water flowing both directly under valley fills and in unmined areas to levels considered safe for human consumption. These safety levels are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. As MTM/VF practices are similar throughout the Central Appalachian region, the authors concluded that all findings can be applied to any area where MTM/VF occurs.

## Scientific Findings

### Human Health

**The health of people living in coal mining communities declines as a result of exposure to mining dust and water contaminated by mining.**

Rates of premature death, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), hypertension hospitalization, lung cancer, and chronic heart, lung and kidney diseases for both men and women increase as coal production increases, even after adjusting for other health-related factors such as socioeconomic status.

### Water

**Groundwater wells are ruined.**

Toxic levels of several mine-derived chemicals were found in wells.

**Streams are buried.**

**Downstream streams and rivers are adversely affected**

by unsafe levels of selenium, manganese, aluminum, iron and other mine-derived chemicals and metals. Mutated, toxic fish have also been found.

### Weather & Climate

**The number and severity of floods is increased.**

**Functional forests are destroyed.**

Even decades later, re-growth of trees and vegetation is limited. The ability for the land to sequester carbon decreases.

**Biodiversity declines.**

### Mitigation Efforts

**Waterways are permanently altered.**

**Vegetation does not re-grow.**

Efforts to restore streams and replant forests, approved by the Army Corps of Engineers during mine permitting process, do not work. Authors point out that the Army Corps has testified that they do not know if these efforts are successful at restoring the ecosystem.

### Conclusion

**Mountaintop mining / valley fill practices should be stopped.**

“Regulators should no longer ignore rigorous science. MTM/VF permits should not be granted unless new methods can be subjected to rigorous peer review and shown to remedy these problems.”

-Quote from Consequences of Mountaintop Mining Article



Visit [www.palmerlab.umd.edu](http://www.palmerlab.umd.edu) for more information.

# A list of peer-reviewed articles cited in “Mountaintop Mining Consequences”

- A. P. Chikkatur, A. D. Sagar, and T. L. Sankar. Sustainable development of the Indian coal sector. *Energy*. 34 (2009).
- B. C. McCormick, et al. Detection of flooding responses at the river basin scale enhanced by land use change. *Water Resources Research*. 45, W08401 (2009).
- B. Y. Amichev, A. J. Burger, J. A. Rodrigue. Carbon sequestration by forests and soils on mined land in the Midwestern and Appalachian coalfields of the U.S. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 256, 1949 (2008).
- G. J. Pond, et al. Downstream effects of mountaintop coal mining: comparing biological conditions using family- and genus-level macroinvertebrate bioassessment tools. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*. 27, 717 (2008).
- J. A. Simmons, et al. Forest to reclaimed mine land use change leads to altered ecosystem structure and function. *Ecological Applications*. 18, 104 (2008).
- J. D. Allan. Landscapes and riverscapes: The influence of land use on stream ecosystems. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*. 35, 257 (2004).
- J. L. Meyer et al. The contribution of headwater streams to biodiversity in river networks. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*. 43, 86 (2007).
- J. M. Conley, D. H. Funk, D. B. Buchwalter. Selenium Bioaccumulation and maternal transfer in the mayfly *centropilum triangulifer* in a life-cycle, periphyton-biofilm trophic assay. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 43, 7952 (2009).
- J. R. Ferrari, et al. Surface mining and reclamation effects on flood response of watersheds in the Central Appalachian Plateau region. *Water Resources Research*. 45, W04407 (2009).
- K. J. Hartman et al.. How much do valley fills influence headwater streams? *Hydrobiologia*. 532, 91 (2005).
- M. E. van der Welle, J. G. Roelofs, L. P. Lamers. Multi-level effects of the sulphur-iron interactions in freshwater wetlands in The Netherlands. *Science of the Total Environment*. 406, 426 (2008).
- M. Hendryx, M. M. Ahern. Mortality in Appalachian coal mining regions: the value of statistical life lost. *Public Health Rep*. 124, 541 (2009).
- M. K. Ghose, S. R. Majee. Characteristics of hazardous airborne dust around an Indian Surface Coal Mining Area. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. 130, 17 (2007).
- N. F. Caraco, J. J. Cole, G. E. Likens. Evidence for sulphate-controlled phosphorus release from sediments of aquatic systems. *Nature*. 341, 316 (1989).
- P. A. Townsend, et al. Change in the extent of surface mining and reclamation in the Central Appalachians detected using a 1976-2006 Landsat time series. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 113, 62 (2009).
- P. Emerson, J. Skousen, and P. Ziemkiewicz. Survival and growth of hardwoods in brown versus gray sandstone on a surface mine in West Virginia. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 38, 1821 (2009).
- S. B. Joye, J. T. Hollibaugh. Influence of sulfide inhibition of nitrification on nitrogen regeneration in sediments. *Science*. 270, 623 (1995).
- T. L. Negley and K. N. Eshleman. Comparison of stormflow responses of surface-mined and forested watersheds in the Appalachian Mountains. *Hydrological Processes*. 20, 3467 (2006).